Staff Parking: Yes

1. For what it is worth, I'd be in support of a monthly deduction of a couple bucks to pay for my staff parking permit (especially if it means I get to keep my staff parking spots!). If that's the cost of having painted lines, filled pot holes, and lit light bulbs, so be it...

2. I suggest faculty pay for parking – the $30/semester rate. (I have never worked anywhere else where I got free parking.)

3. I'm all for raising the parking permit fees if this will help offset some of our budget woes. I hate that we have to balance budgets on the backs of our students, but we're not alone in doing so. I also don't mind having to pay for parking here on campus. I would, however, have a little bit of heartburn paying for parking while classified staff do not. I think we should ALL share the pain. I was surprised to hear that they negotiated free parking; I just assumed that they just received the same benefit we did.

4. I have a hard time saying to students, “Pay $10/unit more for classes—even as fewer classes will be offered—and now pay more for parking, too. However, I’m not going to pay anything.” I use the lots, the state doesn’t give schools money for them, so I am willing to pay for their upkeep. (But I still want the staff lots—thanks!)

5. ☑️ I say yes to increasing the costs of daily and semester passes,
☑️ I say yes to charging faculty/admin/management for permits, and
☑️ I say yes to beginning a dialogue with Classified Staff via the contractual language that grants free parking in order to also charge classified for parking, provided, of course that contract language is agreed upon.

Rationale to increase student parking permits:
• Because the state doesn’t allocate money for building and maintenance of parking lots and instead permits colleges to collect fees for parking, and
• Because student fees from purchase of Parking Permits (daily/semester) and monies collected from parking infractions constitute the budget for maintenance, and
• Because the BC parking lot budget has been operating on a deficit each year, and
• Because maintenance issues such as replacement/repair of permit dispensers, slurry coating, lighting, and such are not feasible because of the deficit,

☑️ BC needs to increase semester rate and daily rate parking permits.

Rationale to charge employees parking permits:
• Because maintenance of parking lots is funded only by fees charged, and
• Because employees use the lots and want them properly maintained, and
• Because employees have designated lots for their use only (a perk!), and
• Because students are currently shoudering the entire budget responsibility, and
• Because the community is unlikely to respond favorably to news items about BC employees being upset for having to also purchase parking permits (since public employees have good salaries, good health and retirement benefits, and in terms of faculty, many perks—summers off, winter break of 5 weeks, spring break)

☑️ BC Employees should pay for their parking permits.
Food for Thought:

- Do I like personally like parking for free at BC? Yes
- Do employees of other companies have to pay for parking? No, not usually
- Are those companies for profit? Yes
- Do their profits afford them money in the budget to maintain parking? Yes
- Does BC have a budget that allows BC parking lots to be maintained? No
- Do other colleges impose parking fees on their employees? Yes
- If employees can’t afford parking, are there other alternatives? Yes—the same alternatives as students have: park off campus, carpool with others, take a bus, ride a bike, walk.

Ultimately, I am willing to pay for parking. I would still like to have the perk of having designated staff parking lots. I would like to be charged the same rate as students. I would like to have the fees taken automatically from my paycheck.

Staff Parking: No

1. I am totally against faculty paying for parking. Perhaps if all the student cars I see in the lots were ticketed we might raise a few dollars.

2. I know that many other schools ask staff and faculty to buy permits. When I came to BC . . . I was given a "life" permit and if I wanted another "life" permit I could buy it for $10. I did and that is the permit that is still in my car. In the car my [spouse] drives is the other permit – [he/she] sometimes uses it to bring me dinner when I am working late. I print for my classes with my own ink, my own paper, from my own computer most all of the work for my classes. My computer in my office is less than good - it almost never will boot. I share [with an officemate] at school and now our computer is constantly crashing. I never take the chance that I will be able to make a test or print it at school. I grade with my own pens. I often buy white board pens as the ones we have are dried up. If I want to do a [class activity] with m&m's I buy them at costco. When I go to [a professional conference] yearly - I pay for my registration, part of the gas, and my meals and part of the meals of the students who are also attending. It really saddens me that BC would charge me for something that does not cost them anything - just to make money.

3. I think free parking is one of the few "perks" we have, so I'd prefer that it remain free. There's also no guarantee that the money raised would ever go where it is intended. Yes...(dis)trust is an issue.

4. I actually have quite a few comments. I would like to see a break down of what the parking expenses are. If my memory serves me, weren't we $100,000 in the hole? I think increase of student parking fees are in order. I would suggest a $10 increase since the fees have not been increased since 1996. If we were to do this we would recoup most, if not all, the cost. I think if we have 5,000 cars at $10 each for two semesters it would create a revenue of an extra $100,000. Also increasing the daily permit fee to $2 would generate more revenue. Currently a student using the daily permit for a two day a week class would pay less for the semester than an actual parking pass. I think faculty should not be charged for poor decision making that has put us in a $100,000 hole. I know there will be people who will say that Cal State charges their
faculty. My response to that: We are not Cal State. Another thought is that we should have more enforcement of the parking which would also generate more revenue.

5. I’m TOTALLY OPPOSED to faculty or staff paying even one cent to park . . . . That would effectively be a reduction in our pay. . . . one nice thing about BC has been the lack of fees for staff parking. It’s always seemed fundamentally wrong for staff to have to pay to park where they have to park to work. Also, I've seen "parking control" at other schools grow and grow, and become increasingly fascist, needing ever larger fees to pay for more parking control officers to collect ever increasing fines. At UCI, it got absurd. They reserved the best parking spots for those who paid the most for parking, so wealthy students could pay to get the best parking, while faculty and staff often had to use less optimal parking spaces. One day a visiting Nobel Laureate, Francis Crick, had his car TOWED for parking in an 'A' space without a permit. Anyway, I hope faculty stand firm on this. NO fees for parking. Surely there are other funds for campus maintenance other than money collected from parking fees...

Student Increase and Staff Parking: No

1. I’m not in favor of raising the daily parking fee or the semester parking fee. I am not in favor of faculty and staff paying for the parking, especially since classified is already covered under their contract. Faculty should be covered as well. I assume much of the parking lot costs for 2011 were caused by the installation of the solar panels, which resulted in tearing up an entire lot and redesigning the layout of the lot. That parking lot expense should not be figured in and should have been covered in the expenses generated by the solar installation. The students and the faculty should not pay for the maintenance of the overall campus. The revenues gleaned from the solar panels should be put toward parking lot maintenance.

Staff Parking: No (but yes to increasing student parking fees)

1. I am fine with increases to student parking fees. I vote we boost these by $8 and we keep the staff parking free.

2. Regarding the parking issue, I support the $5 increase on the student parking pass. I also support the increase on the daily permit; I would even support an amount higher than just $2-- (Cal State just raised theirs to $5 a day). I’m not for making staff pay for parking.

3. . . . students pay the daily $1/day parking fee if they are only coming for two-day-a-week courses, and it is cheaper to do that for 16 weeks than to buy the semester long permit. At $35 a semester, and $2/day, for a two-day a week person, there is now a reason to buy a semester permit I recommend increasing the daily fee to $2/day or more, so that students will be encouraged to pay for the semester long pass. Student fees should be increased, not faculty and staff.

Other Responses:

1. In regard to parking fees, I recommend that no fee changes be implemented on students or employees until the vendor situation is settled. It seems that the college is losing money to an outside company in exchange for no real benefit from that company. I heard that one of the reasons for contracting out for parking permits and citations was that our safety department was "fixing" too many tickets. Couldn’t we actually save money by bringing the service back to the local level, and have better supervision to avoid the appearance of impropriety?
2. I don’t like the idea of students having to come up with more than a dollar a day. I’m just thinking of my students, but two dollars will send them to side streets, and then they’ll be REALLY late.

3. Someone at senate mentioned it would be the equivalent of a pay cut.

4. I have a question, though. If it has to be negotiated with CSEA, which could be a lengthy process, would the charges for all employees occur at the same time?